Client Name:	Site Location:	Project No.
FirstEnergy	Weathersfield Township, Trumbull County, Ohio	664676 Phase 4

Photo No. 7. **Photo Date:** 11/18/2024 **Description:** Wetland W-EVN-1 facing west.	

Photo No. 8. **Photo Date:** 11/18/2024 **Description:** Representative photo of the Project Study Area, facing south.	

Client Name:	Site Location:	Project No.
FirstEnergy	Weathersfield Township, Trumbull County, Ohio	664676 Phase 4

Photo No. 9. **Photo Date:** 11/18/2024 **Description:** Representative photo of the Project Study Area, facing north.	

Photo No. 10. **Photo Date:** 11/18/2024 **Description:** Representative photo of the Project Study Area, facing east.	

Client Name:	Site Location:	Project No.
FirstEnergy	Weathersfield Township, Trumbull County, Ohio	664676 Phase 4

Photo No. 11. **Photo Date:** 11/18/2024 **Description:** Representative photo of the Project Study Area, facing west.	

Photo No. 12. **Photo Date:** 11/18/2024 **Description:** Representative photo of the Project Study Area, facing north.	

Appendix C

Data Forms

USACE Wetland Determination Data Forms – Northcentral and Northeast Region

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Evergreen-Highland Switch A-9029 & A-295 City/County: Niles, Trumbull County Sampling Date: 2024-11-18
Applicant/Owner: FirstEnergy State: OH Sampling Point: W-EVN-01_PEM-1
Investigator(s): Erin Van Nort, Emma Given Section, Township, Range: NA
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): 0 to 1
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 139 of LRR R Lat: 41.1909558065 Long: -80.7861784937 Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Fitchville silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes NWI Classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✘ No ____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ____ , Soil ____ , or Hydrology ____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✘ No ____
Are Vegetation ____ , Soil ____ , or Hydrology ____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ✘ No ____
Hydric Soil Present? Yes ✘ No ____
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✘ No ____

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ✘ No ____

If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: W-EVN-01

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)
Covertype is PEM. Based on the presence of all three parameters, this area is a wetland.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)
- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ Marl Deposits (B15)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ✘ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ✘ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes ____ No ✘ Depth (inches): ____
Water Table Present? Yes ____ No ✘ Depth (inches): ____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ____ No ✘ Depth (inches): ____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✘ No ____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
The criterion for wetland hydrology is met.

VEGETATION ─ Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-EVN-01_PEM-1

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0	= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0	= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius)			
1. *Juncus effusus*	20	Yes	OBL
2. *Dichanthelium clandestinum*	20	Yes	FACW
3. *Apocynum cannabinum*	15	Yes	FAC
4. *Euthamia graminifolia*	15	Yes	FAC
5. *Mentha arvensis*	15	Yes	FACW
6. *Carex vulpinoidea*	10	No	OBL
7. *Epilobium lactiflorum*	5	No	FACW
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			
	100	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	0	= Total Cover	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	30	x 1 =	30
FACW species	40	x 2 =	80
FAC species	30	x 3 =	90
FACU species	0	x 4 =	0
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	100 (A)		200 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

__ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

✘ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

✘ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]

__ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

__ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree ─ Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub ─ Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb ─ All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines ─ All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** ✘ **No** __

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

The criterion for hydrophytic vegetation is met.

SOIL Sampling Point: W-EVN-01_PEM-1

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0 to 8	10YR 4/1	90	10YR 4/6	10	C	PL	Silt Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Iron Monosulfide (A18)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7) **(LRR R, MLRA 149B)**
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(LRR R, MLRA 149B)**
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(LRR R, MLRA 149B)**
- ___ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(LRR K, L)**
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ✘ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)**
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(LRR K, L, R)**
- ___ 5 cm Muck Peat or Peat (S3) **(LRR K, L, R)**
- ___ Dark Surface (S7) **(LRR K, L)**
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(LRR K, L)**
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(LRR K, L)**
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR K, L, R)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 149B)**
- ___ Mesic Spodic (TA6) **(MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)**
- ___ Red Parent Material (F21)
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type: Fill
Depth (inches): 8

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ✘ **No** ___

Remarks:
The criterion for hydric soil is met.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Evergreen-Highland Switch A-9029 & A-295 City/County: Niles, Trumbull County Sampling Date: 2024-11-18
Applicant/Owner: FirstEnergy State: OH Sampling Point: W-EVN-01_UPL-1
Investigator(s): Erin Van Nort, Emma Given Section, Township, Range: NA
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc): Flat Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): 0 to 1
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 139 of LRR R Lat: 41.1921318167 Long: -80.7863552167 Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Ellsworth silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes NWI Classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✘ No ____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ____ , Soil ____ , or Hydrology ____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✘ No ____
Are Vegetation ____ , Soil ____ , or Hydrology ____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ____ No ✘
Hydric Soil Present? Yes ____ No ✘
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ____ No ✘

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ____ No ✘

If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: W-EVN-01

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)
Covertype is UPL. Based on the absence of all three parameters, this area is an upland.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)
- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ Marl Deposits (B15)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes ____ No ✘ Depth (inches): ____
Water Table Present? Yes ____ No ✘ Depth (inches): ____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ____ No ✘ Depth (inches): ____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ____ No ✘

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
The criterion for wetland hydrology is not met.

VEGETATION ─ Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-EVN-01_UPL-1

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0	= Total Cover	

Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0	= Total Cover	

Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius)				
1.	*Andropogon virginicus*	30	Yes	FACU
2.	*Dipsacus laciniatus*	20	Yes	FACU
3.	*Solidago altissima*	20	Yes	FACU
4.	*Symphyotrichum ericoides*	15	No	FACU
5.	*Geum canadense*	10	No	FAC
6.	*Daucus carota*	5	No	UPL
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
	100	= Total Cover		

Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius)			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
	0	= Total Cover	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	0	x 1 =	0
FACW species	0	x 2 =	0
FAC species	10	x 3 =	30
FACU species	85	x 4 =	340
UPL species	5	x 5 =	25
Column Totals:	100 (A)		395 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 4

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

__ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

__ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

__ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]

__ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

__ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree ─ Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub ─ Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb ─ All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines ─ All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** _____ **No** ✘

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

The criterion for hydrophytic vegetation is not met.

SOIL Sampling Point: W-EVN-01_UPL-1

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0 to 8	10YR 5/1	100					Silt Loam	
8 to 12	10YR 6/3	90	10YR 6/8	10	C	M	Silt Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- __ Histosol (A1)
- __ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- __ Black Histic (A3)
- __ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- __ Stratified Layers (A5)
- __ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- __ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- __ Iron Monosulfide (A18)
- __ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- __ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- __ Sandy Redox (S5)
- __ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- __ Dark Surface (S7) **(LRR R, MLRA 149B)**
- __ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(LRR R, MLRA 149B)**
- __ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(LRR R, MLRA 149B)**
- __ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(LRR K, L)**
- __ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- __ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- __ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- __ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- __ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- __ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)**
- __ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(LRR K, L, R)**
- __ 5 cm Muck Peat or Peat (S3) **(LRR K, L, R)**
- __ Dark Surface (S7) **(LRR K, L)**
- __ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(LRR K, L)**
- __ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(LRR K, L)**
- __ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR K, L, R)**
- __ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 149B)**
- __ Mesic Spodic (TA6) **(MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)**
- __ Red Parent Material (F21)
- __ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- __ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type: Fill
Depth (inches): 12

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ____ **No** ✘

Remarks:
The criterion for hydric soil is not met.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Evergreen-Highland Switch A-9029 & A-295 City/County: Niles, Trumbull County Sampling Date: 2024-11-18
Applicant/Owner: FirstEnergy State: OH Sampling Point: ROP- EVN-1
Investigator(s): Erin Van Nort, Emma Given Section, Township, Range: NA
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc): Swale Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): 1 to 3
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 139 of LRR R Lat: 41.1891651667 Long: -80.7864274667 Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Glenford silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes NWI Classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✘ No ____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation ____ , Soil ____ , or Hydrology ____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✘ No ____
Are Vegetation ____ , Soil ____ , or Hydrology ____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ____ No ✘
Hydric Soil Present? Yes ____ No ✘
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ____ No ✘

Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ____ No ✘

If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: ROP-EVN-1

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)
Covertype is PEM. Based on the absence of all three parameters, this area is an upland.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)
- __ Surface Water (A1)
- __ High Water Table (A2)
- __ Saturation (A3)
- __ Water Marks (B1)
- __ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- __ Drift Deposits (B3)
- __ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- __ Iron Deposits (B5)
- __ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- __ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- __ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- __ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- __ Marl Deposits (B15)
- __ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- __ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- __ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- __ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- __ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- __ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
- __ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- __ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- __ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- __ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- __ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ✘ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- __ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- __ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- __ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- __ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- __ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes ____ No ✘ Depth (inches): ____
Water Table Present? Yes ____ No ✘ Depth (inches): ____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes ____ No ✘ Depth (inches): ____

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ____ No ✘

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
The criterion for wetland hydrology is not met.

VEGETATION — Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: ROP- EVN-1

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0	= Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius)			
1. *Frangula alnus*	15	Yes	FAC
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	15	= Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius)			
1. *Solidago canadensis*	15	Yes	FACU
2. *Solidago altissima*	15	Yes	FACU
3. *Euthamia graminifolia*	10	Yes	FAC
4. *Rubus allegheniensis*	10	Yes	FACU
5. *Carex cristatella*	5	No	FACW
6. *Juncus effusus*	5	No	OBL
7. *Poa palustris*	5	No	FACW
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			
	65	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft radius)			
1. *Lonicera japonica*	5	Yes	FACU
2.			
3.			
4.			
	5	= Total Cover	

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL species	5	x 1 =	5
FACW species	10	x 2 =	20
FAC species	25	x 3 =	75
FACU species	45	x 4 =	180
UPL species	0	x 5 =	0
Column Totals:	85 (A)		280 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.3

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

__ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

__ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

__ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]

__ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

__ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:

Tree — Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/shrub — Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb — All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vines — All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No ✘

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

The criterion for hydrophytic vegetation is not met.

SOIL Sampling Point: ROP- EVN-1

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0 to 5	7.5YR 3/1	100					Silty Clay Loam	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- __ Histosol (A1)
- __ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- __ Black Histic (A3)
- __ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- __ Stratified Layers (A5)
- __ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- __ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- __ Iron Monosulfide (A18)
- __ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- __ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- __ Sandy Redox (S5)
- __ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- __ Dark Surface (S7) **(LRR R, MLRA 149B)**
- __ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(LRR R, MLRA 149B)**
- __ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(LRR R, MLRA 149B)**
- __ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(LRR K, L)**
- __ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- __ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- __ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- __ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- __ Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- __ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)**
- __ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(LRR K, L, R)**
- __ 5 cm Muck Peat or Peat (S3) **(LRR K, L, R)**
- __ Dark Surface (S7) **(LRR K, L)**
- __ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(LRR K, L)**
- __ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(LRR K, L)**
- __ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR K, L, R)**
- __ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 149B)**
- __ Mesic Spodic (TA6) **(MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)**
- __ Red Parent Material (F21)
- __ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- __ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type: Fill
Depth (inches): 5

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ____ **No** ✘

Remarks:
The criterion for hydric soil is not met.

OEPA ORAM Data Form

Background Information

Name: Erin Van Nort
Date: 11/18/2024
Affiliation: TRC Environmental Corporation
Address: 1382 West Ninth Street, Suite 400 Cleveland, OH 44113
Phone Number: 216-347-3342
e-mail address: EVanNort@trccompanies.com
Name of Wetland: W-EVN-1
Vegetation Communit(ies): PEM, PFO
HGM Class(es): Depression
Location of Wetland: include map, address, north arrow, landmarks, distances, roads, etc. See Figure 5: Delineated Resources Map and Surface Water Delineation Report for further details.

Lat/Long or UTM Coordinate	41.192170, -80.786342
USGS Quad Name	Warren, Ohio
County	Trumbull
Township	Weathersfield
Section and Subsection	N/A
Hydrologic Unit Code	050301030603
Site Visit	11/18/2024
National Wetland Inventory Map	See Figure 4
Ohio Wetland Inventory Map	N/A
Soil Survey	See Figure 3
Delineation report/map	See Figure 5

Name of Wetland: W-EVN-1	
Wetland Size (acres, hectares):	~ 0.5 acres (~ 0.2 hectares)

Sketch: Include north arrow, relationship with other surface waters, vegetation zones, etc.

See Figure 5: Delineated Resources Map and Surface Water Delineation Report for further details.

Comments, Narrative Discussion, Justification of Category Changes:

Final score : 26	**Category:**	1

Scoring Boundary Worksheet

INSTRUCTIONS. The initial step in completing the ORAM is to identify the "scoring boundaries" of the wetland being rated. In many instances this determination will be relatively easy and the scoring boundaries will coincide with the "jurisdictional boundaries." For example, the scoring boundary of an isolated cattail marsh located in the middle of a farm field will likely be the same as that wetland's jurisdictional boundaries. In other instances, however, the scoring boundary will not be as easily determined. Wetlands that are small or isolated from other surface waters often form large contiguous areas or heterogeneous complexes of wetland and upland. In separating wetlands for scoring purposes, the hydrologic regime of the wetland is the main criterion that should be used. Boundaries between contiguous or connected wetlands should be established where the volume, flow, or velocity of water moving through the wetland changes significantly. *Areas with a high degree of hydrologic interaction should be scored as a single wetland*. In determining a wetland's scoring boundaries, use the guidelines in the ORAM Manual Section 5.0. In certain instances, it may be difficult to establish the scoring boundary for the wetland being rated. These problem situations include wetlands that form a patchwork on the landscape, wetlands divided by artificial boundaries like property fences, roads, or railroad embankments, wetlands that are contiguous with streams, lakes, or rivers, and estuarine or coastal wetlands. These situations are discussed below, however, it is recommended that Rater contact Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water, 401/Wetlands Section if there are additional questions or a need for further clarification of the appropriate scoring boundaries of a particular wetland.

#	Steps in properly establishing scoring boundaries	done?	not applicable
Step 1	Identify the wetland area of interest. This may be the site of a proposed impact, a reference site, conservation site, etc.	X	
Step 2	Identify the locations where there is physical evidence that hydrology changes rapidly. Such evidence includes both natural and human-induced changes including, constrictions caused by berms or dikes, points where the water velocity changes rapidly at rapids or falls, points where significant inflows occur at the confluence of rivers, or other factors that may restrict hydrologic interaction between the wetlands or parts of a single wetland.	X	
Step 3	Delineate the boundary of the wetland to be rated such that all areas of interest that are contiguous to and within the areas where the hydrology does not change significantly, i.e. areas that have a high degree of hydrologic interaction are included within the scoring boundary.	X	
Step 4	Determine if artificial boundaries, such as property lines, state lines, roads, railroad embankments, etc., are present. These should not be used to establish scoring boundaries unless they coincide with areas where the hydrologic regime changes.	X	
Step 5	In all instances, the Rater may enlarge the minimum scoring boundaries discussed here to score together wetlands that could be scored separately.		X
Step 6	Consult ORAM Manual Section 5.0 for how to establish scoring boundaries for wetlands that form a patchwork on the landscape, divided by artificial boundaries, contiguous to streams, lakes or rivers, or for dual classifications.	X	

End of Scoring Boundary Determination. Begin Narrative Rating on next page.

Narrative Rating

INSTRUCTIONS. Answer each of the following questions. Questions 1, 2, 3 and 4 should be answered based on information obtained from the site visit or the literature *and* by submitting a Data Services Request to the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Natural Areas and Preserves, Natural Heritage Data Services, 1889 Fountain Square Court, Building F-1, Columbus, Ohio 43224, 614-265-6453 (phone), 614-265-3096 (fax), http://www.dnr.state.oh.us/dnap . The remaining questions are designed to be answered primarily by the results of the site visit. Refer to the User's Manual for descriptions of these wetland types. Note: "Critical habitat" is legally defined in the Endangered Species Act and is the geographic area containing physical or biological features essential to the conservation of a listed species or as an area that may require special management considerations or protection. The Rater should contact the Region 3 Headquarters or the Columbus Ecological Services Office for updates as to whether critical habitat has been designated for other federally listed threatened or endangered species. "Documented" means the wetland is listed in the appropriate State of Ohio database.

#	Question	Circle one	
1	**Critical Habitat.** Is the wetland in a township, section, or subsection of a United States Geological Survey 7.5 minute Quadrangle that has been designated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as "critical habitat" for any threatened or endangered plant or animal species? Note: as of January 1, 2001, of the federally listed endangered or threatened species which can be found in Ohio, the Indiana Bat has had critical habitat designated (50 CFR 17.95(a)) and the piping plover has had critical habitat proposed (65 FR 41812 July 6, 2000).	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Go to Question 2	(NO) Go to Question 2
2	**Threatened or Endangered Species.** Is the wetland known to contain an individual of, or documented occurrences of federal or state-listed threatened or endangered plant or animal species?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 3	(NO) Go to Question 3
3	**Documented High Quality Wetland.** Is the wetland on record in Natural Heritage Database as a high quality wetland?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 4	(NO) Go to Question 4
4	**Significant Breeding or Concentration Area.** Does the wetland contain documented regionally significant breeding or nonbreeding waterfowl, neotropical songbird, or shorebird concentration areas?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 5	(NO) Go to Question 5
5	**Category 1 Wetlands.** Is the wetland less than 0.5 hectares (1 acre) in size and **hydrologically isolated** and either 1) comprised of vegetation that is dominated (greater than eighty per cent areal cover) by *Phalaris arundinacea, Lythrum salicaria,* or *Phragmites australis*, or 2) an acidic pond created or excavated on mined lands that has little or no vegetation?	YES Wetland is a Category 1 wetland Go to Question 6	(NO) Go to Question 6
6	**Bogs.** Is the wetland a peat-accumulating wetland that 1) has no significant inflows or outflows, 2) supports acidophilic mosses, particularly *Sphagnum* spp., 3) the acidophilic mosses have >30% cover, 4) at least one species from Table 1 is present, and 5) the cover of invasive species (see Table 1) is <25%?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 7	(NO) Go to Question 7
7	**Fens.** Is the wetland a carbon accumulating (peat, muck) wetland that is saturated during most of the year, primarily by a discharge of free flowing, mineral rich, ground water with a circumneutral ph (5.5-9.0) and with one or more plant species listed in Table 1 and the cover of invasive species listed in Table 1 is <25%?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 8a	(NO) Go to Question 8a
8a	**"Old Growth Forest."** Is the wetland a forested wetland and is the forest characterized by, but not limited to, the following characteristics: overstory canopy trees of great age (exceeding at least 50% of a projected maximum attainable age for a species); little or no evidence of human-caused understory disturbance during the past 80 to 100 years; an all-aged structure and multilayered canopies; aggregations of canopy trees interspersed with canopy gaps; and significant numbers of standing dead snags and downed logs?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 8b	(NO) Go to Question 8b

8b	**Mature forested wetlands**. Is the wetland a forested wetland with 50% or more of the cover of upper forest canopy consisting of deciduous trees with large diameters at breast height (dbh), generally diameters greater than 45cm (17.7in) dbh?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status. Go to Question 9a	NO Go to Question 9a
9a	**Lake Erie coastal and tributary wetlands**. Is the wetland located at an elevation less than 575 feet on the USGS map, adjacent to this elevation, or along a tributary to Lake Erie that is accessible to fish?	YES Go to Question 9b	NO Go to Question 10
9b	Does the wetland's hydrology result from measures designed to prevent erosion and the loss of aquatic plants, i.e. the wetland is partially hydrologically restricted from Lake Erie due to lakeward or landward dikes or other hydrological controls?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Go to Question 10	NO Go to Question 9c
9c	Are Lake Erie water levels the wetland's primary hydrological influence, i.e. the wetland is hydrologically unrestricted (no lakeward or upland border alterations), or the wetland can be characterized as an "estuarine" wetland with lake and river influenced hydrology. These include sandbar deposition wetlands, estuarine wetlands, river mouth wetlands, or those dominated by submersed aquatic vegetation.	YES Go to Question 9d	NO Go to Question 10
9d	Does the wetland have a predominance of native species within its vegetation communities, although non-native or disturbance tolerant native species can also be present?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland Go to Question 10	NO Go to Question 9e
9e	Does the wetland have a predominance of non-native or disturbance tolerant native plant species within its vegetation communities?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Go to Question 10	NO Go to Question 10
10	**Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings)** Is the wetland located in Lucas, Fulton, Henry, or Wood Counties and can the wetland be characterized by the following description: the wetland has a sandy substrate with interspersed organic matter, a water table often within several inches of the surface, and often with a dominance of the gramineous vegetation listed in Table 1 (woody species may also be present). The Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Natural Areas and Preserves can provide assistance in confirming this type of wetland and its quality.	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 11	NO Go to Question 11
11	**Relict Wet Prairies**. Is the wetland a relict wet prairie community dominated by some or all of the species in Table 1. Extensive prairies were formerly located in the Darby Plains (Madison and Union Counties), Sandusky Plains (Wyandot, Crawford, and Marion Counties), northwest Ohio (e.g. Erie, Huron, Lucas, Wood Counties), and portions of western Ohio Counties (e.g. Darke, Mercer, Miami, Montgomery, Van Wert etc.).	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Complete Quantitative Rating	NO Complete Quantitative Rating

Table 1. Characteristic plant species.

invasive/exotic spp	fen species	bog species	0ak Opening species	wet prairie species
Lythrum salicaria	*Zygadenus elegans var. glaucus*	*Calla palustris*	*Carex cryptolepis*	*Calamagrostis canadensis*
Myriophyllum spicatum	*Cacalia plantaginea*	*Carex atlantica var. capillacea*	*Carex lasiocarpa*	*Calamogrostis stricta*
Najas minor	*Carex flava*	*Carex echinata*	*Carex stricta*	*Carex atherodes*
Phalaris arundinacea	*Carex sterilis*	*Carex oligosperma*	*Cladium mariscoides*	*Carex buxbaumii*
Phragmites australis	*Carex stricta*	*Carex trisperma*	*Calamagrostis stricta*	*Carex pellita*
Potamogeton crispus	*Deschampsia caespitosa*	*Chamaedaphne calyculata*	*Calamagrostis canadensis*	*Carex sartwellii*
Ranunculus ficaria	*Eleocharis rostellata*	*Decodon verticillatus*	*Quercus palustris*	*Gentiana andrewsii*
Rhamnus frangula	*Eriophorum viridicarinatum*	*Eriophorum virginicum*		*Helianthus grosseserratus*
Typha angustifolia	*Gentianopsis spp.*	*Larix laricina*		*Liatris spicata*
Typha xglauca	*Lobelia kalmii*	*Nemopanthus mucronatus*		*Lysimachia quadriflora*
	Parnassia glauca	*Schechzeria palustris*		*Lythrum alatum*
	Potentilla fruticosa	*Sphagnum spp.*		*Pycnanthemum virginianum*
	Rhamnus alnifolia	*Vaccinium macrocarpon*		*Silphium terebinthinaceum*
	Rhynchospora capillacea	*Vaccinium corymbosum*		*Sorghastrum nutans*
	Salix candida	*Vaccinium oxycoccos*		*Spartina pectinata*
	Salix myricoides	*Woodwardia virginica*		*Solidago riddellii*
	Salix serissima	*Xyris difformis*		
	Solidago ohioensis			
	Tofieldia glutinosa			
	Triglochin maritimum			
	Triglochin palustre			

End of Narrative Rating. Begin Quantitative Rating on next page.

Site: FirstEnergy , Evergreen-Highland Switch A-9029 & A-295 **Rater(s):** Erin Van Nort, Emma Given **Date:** 2024-11-18

2	2
max 6 pts.	subtotal

Metric 1. Wetland Area (size).

Select one size class and assign score.

- [ ] >50 acres (>20.2ha) (6 pts)
- [ ] 25 to <50 acres (10.1 to <20.2ha) (5 pts)
- [ ] 10 to <25 acres (4 to <10.1ha) (4 pts)
- [ ] 3 to <10 acres (1.2 to <4ha) (3 pts)
- [x] 0.3 to <3 acres (0.12 to <1.2ha) (2pts)
- [ ] 0.1 to <0.3 acres (0.04 to <0.12ha) (1 pt)
- [ ] <0.1 acres (0.04ha) (0 pts)

4	6
max 14 pts.	subtotal

Metric 2. Upland buffers and surrounding land use.

2a. Calculate average buffer width. Select only one and assign score. Do not double check.

- [ ] WIDE. Buffers average 50m (164ft) or more around wetland perimeter (7)
- [ ] MEDIUM. Buffers average 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around wetland perimeter (4)
- [x] NARROW. Buffers average 10m to <25m (32ft to <82ft) around wetland perimeter (1)
- [ ] VERY NARROW. Buffers average <10m (<32ft) around wetland perimeter (0)

2b. Intensity of surrounding land use. Select one or double check and average.

- [ ] VERY LOW. 2nd growth or older forest, prairie, savannah, wildlife area, etc. (7)
- [ ] LOW. Old field (>10 years), shrub land, young second growth forest. (5)
- [x] MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, fenced pasture, park, conservation tillage, new fallow field. (3)
- [ ] HIGH. Urban, industrial, open pasture, row cropping, mining, construction. (1)

8	14
max 30 pts.	subtotal

Metric 3. Hydrology.

3a. Sources of Water. Score all that apply.

- [ ] High pH groundwater (5)
- [ ] Other groundwater (3)
- [x] Precipitation (1)
- [ ] Seasonal/Intermittent surface water (3)
- [ ] Perennial surface water (lake or stream) (5)

3b. Connectivity. Score all that apply.

- [ ] 100 year floodplain (1)
- [ ] Between stream/lake and other human use (1)
- [x] Part of wetland/upland (e.g. forest), complex (1)
- [ ] Part of riparian or upland corridor (1)

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score.

- [ ] >0.7 m (>27.6 in) (3)
- [ ] 0.4 to 0.7 m (15.7 to 27.6 in) (2)
- [x] <0.4 m (<15.7 in) (1)

3d. Duration inundation/saturation. Score one or dbl check.

- [ ] Semi- to permanently inundated/saturated (4)
- [ ] Regularly inundated/saturated (3)
- [x] Seasonally inundated (2)
- [ ] Seasonally saturated in upper 30cm (12in) (1)

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Score one or double check and average.

- [ ] None or none apparent (12)
- [ ] Recovered (7)
- [x] Recovering (3)
- [ ] Recent or no recovery (1)

Check all disturbances observed

[x]	ditch	[ ]	point source (nonstormwater)
[ ]	tile	[x]	filling/grading
[ ]	dike	[ ]	road bed/RR track
[ ]	weir	[ ]	dredging
[ ]	stormwater input	[ ]	other

8	22
max 20 pts.	subtotal

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development.

4a. Substrate disturbance. Score one or double check and average.

- [ ] None or none apparent (4)
- [ ] Recovered (3)
- [x] Recovering (2)
- [ ] Recent or no recovery (1)

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score.

- [ ] Excellent (7)
- [ ] Very good (6)
- [ ] Good (5)
- [ ] Moderately good (4)
- [x] Fair (3)
- [ ] Poor to fair (2)
- [ ] Poor (1)

4c. Habitat alteration. Score one or double check and average.

- [ ] None or none apparent (9)
- [ ] Recovered (6)
- [x] Recovering (3)
- [ ] Recent or no recovery (1)

Check all disturbances observed

[x]	mowing	[ ]	shrub/sapling removal
[ ]	grazing	[ ]	herbaceous/aquatic bed removal
[ ]	clearcutting	[ ]	sedimentation
[x]	selective cutting	[ ]	dredging
[ ]	woody debris removal	[ ]	farming
[ ]	toxic pollutants	[ ]	nutrient enrichment

22
subtotal this page

TRC Environmental Corp.
781 Science Boulevard, Suite 200
Gahanna, Ohio 43230

Site: FirstEnergy , Evergreen-Highland Switch A-9029 & A-295	Rater(s): Erin Van Nort, Emma Given	Date: 2024-11-18

22
subtotal first page

0 (max 10 pts.) | 22 (subtotal)

Metric 5. Special Wetlands.

Check all that apply and score as indicated.

- [ ] Bog (10)
- [ ] Fen (10)
- [ ] Old growth forest (10)
- [ ] Mature forested wetland (5)
- [ ] Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-unrestricted hydrology (10)
- [ ] Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-restricted hydrology (5)
- [ ] Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings) (10)
- [ ] Relict Wet Prairies (10)
- [ ] Known occurrence state/federal threatened or endangered species (10)
- [ ] Significant migratory songbird/water fowl habitat or usage (10)
- [ ] Category 1 Wetland. See Question 1 Qualitative Rating (-10)

4 (max 20 pts.) | 26 (subtotal)

Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography.

6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities.
Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- [ ] Aquatic Bed
- [1] Emergent
- [0] Shrub
- [1] Forest
- [ ] Mudflats
- [ ] Open water
- [ ] Other _____

6b. horizontal (plan view) Interspersion.
Select only one.

- [ ] High (5)
- [ ] Moderately high (4)
- [ ] Moderate (3)
- [ ] Moderately low (2)
- [x] Low (1)
- [ ] None (0)

Invasive Species Present:
reed canary grass

6c. Coverage of invasive plants. Refer to Table 1 ORAM long form for list. Add or deduct points for coverage

- [ ] Extensive >75% cover (-5)
- [ ] Moderate 25-75% cover (-3)
- [x] Sparse 5-25% cover (-1)
- [ ] Nearly absent <5% cover (0)
- [ ] Absent (1)

6d. Microtopography.
Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- [1] Vegetated hummucks/tussucks
- [0] Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in)
- [1] Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh
- [0] Amphibian breeding pools

Vegetation Community Cover Scale

0	Absent or comprises <0.1ha (0.2471 acres) contiguous area
1	Present and either comprises small part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or comprises a significant part but is of low quality
2	Present and either comprises significant part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality or comprises a small part and is of high quality
3	Present and comprises significant part, or more, of wetland's vegetation and is of high quality

Narrative Description of Vegetation Quality

low	Low spp diversity and/or predominance of nonnative or disturbance tolerant native species
mod	Native spp are dominant component of the vegetation, although nonnative and/or disturbance tolerant native spp can also be present, and species diversity moderate to moderately high, but generally w/o presence of rare threatened or endangered spp
high	A predominance of native species, with nonnative spp and/or disturbance tolerant native spp absent or virtually absent, and high spp diversity and often, but not always, the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered spp

Mudflat and Open Water Class Quality

0	Absent <0.1ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 to <4ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4ha (9.88 acres) or more

Microtopography Cover Scale

0	Absent
1	Present very small amounts or if more common of marginal quality
2	Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of highest quality

26

CATEGORY 1

End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

ORAM Summary Worksheet

		circle answer or insert score	Result
Narrative Rating	Question 1 Critical Habitat	YES (NO)	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 2. Threatened or Endangered Species	YES (NO)	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 3. High Quality Natural Wetland	YES (NO)	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 4. Significant bird habitat	YES (NO)	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 5. Category 1 Wetlands	YES (NO)	If yes, Category 1.
	Question 6. Bogs	YES (NO)	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 7. Fens	YES (NO)	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8a. Old Growth Forest	YES (NO)	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8b. Mature Forested Wetland	YES (NO)	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 9b. Lake Erie Wetlands - Restricted	YES (NO)	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 9d. Lake Erie Wetlands – Unrestricted with native plants	YES NO	If yes, Category 3
	Question 9e. Lake Erie Wetlands - Unrestricted with invasive plants	YES NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
	Question 10. Oak Openings	YES (NO)	If yes, Category 3
	Question 11. Relict Wet Prairies	YES (NO)	If yes, evaluate for Category 3; may also be 1 or 2.
Quantitative Rating	Metric 1. Size	2	
	Metric 2. Buffers and surrounding land use	4	
	Metric 3. Hydrology	8	
	Metric 4. Habitat	8	
	Metric 5. Special Wetland Communities	0	
	Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography	4	
	TOTAL SCORE	26	Category based on score breakpoints 1

Complete Wetland Categorization Worksheet.

Wetland Categorization Worksheet

Choices	Circle one		Evaluation of Categorization Result of ORAM
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8a, 9d, 10	YES Wetland is categorized as a Category 3 wetland	(NO)	Is quantitative rating score *less* than the Category 2 scoring threshold (*excluding* gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland has been over-categorized by the ORAM
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 1, 8b, 9b, 9e, 11	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status	(NO)	Evaluate the wetland using the 1) narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and 2) the quantitative rating score. If the wetland is determined to be a Category 3 wetland using either of these, it should be categorized as a Category 3 wetland. Detailed biological and/or functional assessments may also be used to determine the wetland's category.
Did you answer "Yes" to Narrative Rating No. 5	YES Wetland is categorized as a Category 1 wetland	(NO)	Is quantitative rating score *greater* than the Category 2 scoring threshold *(including* any gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland has been under-categorized by the ORAM
Does the quantitative score fall within the scoring range of a Category 1, 2, or 3 wetland?	(YES) Wetland is assigned to the appropriate category based on the scoring range	NO	If the score of the wetland is located within the scoring range for a particular category, the wetland should be assigned to that category. In all instances however, the narrative criteria described in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) can be used to clarify or change a categorization based on a quantitative score.
Does the quantitative score fall with the *"gray zone"* for Category 1 or 2 or Category 2 or 3 wetlands?	YES Wetland is assigned to the higher of the two categories or assigned to a category based on detailed assessments and the narrative criteria	(NO)	Rater has the option of assigning the wetland to the higher of the two categories or to assign a category based on the results of a nonrapid wetland assessment method, e.g. functional assessment, biological assessment, etc, and a consideration of the narrative criteria in OAC rule 3745-1-54(C).
Does the wetland otherwise exhibit *moderate OR superior* hydrologic OR habitat, OR recreational functions AND the wetland was *not* categorized as a Category 2 wetland (in the case of moderate functions) or a Category 3 wetland (in the case of superior functions) by this method?	YES Wetland was undercategorized by this method. A written justification for recategorization should be provided on Background Information Form	(NO) Wetland is assigned to category as determined by the ORAM.	A wetland may be undercategorized using this method, but still exhibit one or more superior functions, e.g. a wetland's biotic communities may be degraded by human activities, but the wetland may still exhibit superior hydrologic functions because of its type, landscape position, size, local or regional significance, etc. In this circumstance, the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C)(2) and (3) are controlling, and the under-categorization should be corrected. A written justification with supporting reasons or information for this determination should be provided.

Final Category

Choose one	(Category 1)	Category 2	Category 3

End of Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands.

OEPA HHEI Data Form

Primary Headwater Habitat Evaluation Form

HHEI Score (sum of metrics 1, 2, 3) : **40**

SITE NAME/LOCATION S-EVN-01. FirstEnergy - Evergreen-Highland Switch A-9029 & A-295 Project.

SITE NUMBER ____ RIVER CODE ____ RIVER BASIN Mahoning River DRAINAGE AREA (mi^2) 0.058

LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) 17 LAT. 41.1918170667 LONG. -80.7860308833 RIVER MILE N/A

DATE 2024-11-18 SCORER EVN COMMENTS UNT to the Mahoning River

NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form - Refer to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH Streams" for Instructions

STREAM CHANNEL MODIFICATIONS: ☐ NONE / NATURAL CHANNEL ☐ RECOVERED ☑ RECOVERING ☐ RECENT OR NO RECOVERY

1. SUBSTRATE: Estimate percent of every type of substrate present. Check *ONLY* two predominant substrate *TYPE* boxes (Max of 32). Add total number of significant substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B.

TYPE		PERCENT	TYPE		PERCENT
☐	BLDR SLABS **[16 pts]**	____	☐	SILT **[3 pts]**	15
☐	BOULDER (>256 mm) **[16 pts]**	____	☑	LEAF PACK/WOODY DEBRIS **[3 pts]**	20
☐	BEDROCK **[16 pts]**	____	☐	FINE DETRITUS **[3 pts]**	5
☐	COBBLE (65-256 mm) **[12 pts]**	10	☑	CLAY or HARDPAN **[0 pts]**	35
☐	GRAVEL (2-64 mm) **[9 pts]**	10	☐	MUCK **[0 pts]**	____
☐	SAND (<2 mm) **[6 pts]**	5	☐	ARTIFICIAL **[3 pts]**	____

Total of Percentages of Bldr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock 10 **(A)** **(B)**

SCORE OF TWO MOST PREDOMINATE SUBSTRATE TYPES: 3 **TOTAL NUMBER OF SUBSTRATE TYPES:** 7

HHEI Metric Points

Substrate Max = 40

10

A + B

2. Maximum Pool Depth ***Measure the maximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft)*** evaluation reach at the time of evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road culverts or storm water pipes) (Check *ONLY* one box):

☐	> 30 centimeters **[20 pts]**	☐	> 5 cm - 10 cm **[15 pts]**
☐	> 22.5 - 30 cm **[30 pts]**	☐	< 5 cm **[5 pts]**
☑	> 10 - 22.5 cm **[25 pts]**	☐	NO WATER OR MOIST CHANNEL **[0 pts]**

COMMENTS____ **MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (centimeters):** 12

Pool Depth Max = 30

25

3. BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the average of 3-4 measurements) **(Check *ONLY* one box):**

☐	> 4.0 meters (> 13') **[30 pts]**	☐	> 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") **[15 pts]**
☐	> 3.0 m - 4.0 m (> 9' 7" - 13') **[25 pts]**	☑	≤ 1.0 m (≤3' 3") **[5 pts]**
☐	> 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") **[20 pts]**		

COMMENTS____ **AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters):** 0.6

Bankfull Width Max=30

5

This information must also be completed

RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY ☆NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream☆

RIPARIAN WIDTH (Per Bank) L	R	FLOODPLAIN QUALITY (Most Predominant per Bank) L	R		L	R	
☑	☑	Wide >10m	☐	☐	Mature Forest, Wetland	☐	☐	Conservation Tillage
☐	☐	Moderate 5-10m	☑	☑	Immature Forest, Shrub or Old Field	☐	☐	Urban or Industrial
☐	☐	Narrow <5m	☐	☐	Residential, Park, New Field	☐	☐	Open Pasture, Row Crop
☐	☐	None	☐	☐	Fenced Pasture	☐	☐	Mining or Construction

COMMENTS ____

FLOW REGIME *(At Time of Evaluation)* (Check *ONLY* one box):

☑ Stream Flowing ☐ Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (Intermittent)

☐ Subsurface flow with isolated pools (Interstitial) ☐ Dry channel, no water (Ephemeral)

COMMENTS ____

SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) (Check *ONLY* one box):

☐ None ☑ 1.0 ☐ 2.0 ☐ 3.0

☐ 0.5 ☐ 1.5 ☐ 2.5 ☐ >3

STREAM GRADIENT ESTIMATE

☐ Flat (0.5 ft/100 ft) ☑ Flat to Moderate ☐ Moderate (2 ft/100 ft) ☐ Moderate to Severe ☐ Severe (10 ft/100 ft)

ADDITIONAL STREAM INFORMATION (This Information Must Also be Completed):

QHEI PERFORMED? ☐ Yes ☑ No QHEI Score ____ (If Yes, Attach Completed QHEI Form)

DOWNSTREAM DESIGNATED USE(S)

☑ WWH Name: Mahoning River — Distance from Evaluated Stream 0.31 miles

☐ CWH Name: ____ — Distance from Evaluated Stream ____

☐ EWH Name: ____ — Distance from Evaluated Stream ____

MAPPING: ATTACH COPIES OF MAPS, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE WATERSHED AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION

USGS Quadrangle Name: Warren — NRCS Soil Map Page: See Report NRCS Soil Map Stream Order See Report

County: Trumbull — Township / City: Weathersfield

MISCELLANEOUS

Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N): Y Date of last precipitation: 2024-11-11 Quantity: .1

Photo-documentation Notes: ____

Elevated Turbidity? (Y/N): N Canopy (% open): 5

Were samples collected for water chemistry? (Y/N): N Lab Sample # or ID (attach results): _

Field Measures: Temp (°C) 15.7 Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) _ pH (S.U.) 8.29 Conductivity (µmhos/cm) _

Is the sampling reach representative of the stream (Y/N) Y If not, please explain:

Additional comments/description of pollution impacts:

BIOLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS

(Record all observations below)

Fish Observed? (Y/N) _ Species observed (if known): _

Frogs or Tadpoles Observed? (Y/N) _ Species observed (if known): _

Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) _ Species observed (if known): _

Aquatic Macroinvertebrates Observed? (Y/N) _ Species observed (if known): _

Comments Regarding Biology:

DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM REACH (This must be completed):

Include important landmarks and other features of interest for site evaluation and a narrative description of the stream's location

FLOW →

SEE PAGE 3

SKETCH OF STREAM REACH: S-EVN-01